



US009381088B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Maloney et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,381,088 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Jul. 5, 2016**

(54) **KNEE IMPLANT SYSTEM**

(71) Applicant: **MICROPORT ORTHOPEDIC HOLDINGS, INC.**, Tiel (NL)

(72) Inventors: **William J. Maloney**, Palo Alto, CA (US); **J. David Blaha**, Ann Arbor, MI (US); **C. Lowry Barnes**, Little Rock, AR (US); **John M. Green**, Arlington, TN (US); **David R. Tuttle**, Memphis, TN (US)

(73) Assignee: **MicroPort Orthopedics Holdings Inc.**, Tiel (NL)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 580 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

(21) Appl. No.: **13/840,931**

(22) Filed: **Mar. 15, 2013**

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2013/0345820 A1 Dec. 26, 2013

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 13/009,158, filed on Jan. 19, 2011, now Pat. No. 8,403,994.

(51) **Int. Cl.**
A61F 2/38 (2006.01)
A61F 2/30 (2006.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**
CPC **A61F 2/3859** (2013.01); **A61F 2/389** (2013.01); **A61F 2002/30616** (2013.01); **A61F 2002/30892** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**

CPC **A61F 2/38**; **A61F 2/3859**
See application file for complete search history.

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

4,298,992 A	11/1981	Burstein et al.
4,950,297 A	8/1990	Elloy et al.
5,071,438 A	12/1991	Jones et al.
5,326,361 A	7/1994	Hollister

(Continued)

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	0327297	8/1989
EP	0294298 B1	5/1991

(Continued)

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Knee Product Reference; Competitive Information Pocket Guide—Stryker Howmedia Osteronics, 1999.

(Continued)


Primary Examiner — Jason-Dennis Stewart

(74) *Attorney, Agent, or Firm* — Adams and Reese LLP

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Knee prosthesis includes a femoral component adapted to fit on a distal end of the femur and a tibial insert component. The femoral component includes a measured anterior/posterior dimension defined by the posterior condyle surface and the interior surface of the anterior flange and a distal peg provided on a distal bone facing surface of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures, wherein the distal pegs are positioned at a midpoint of the measured anterior/posterior dimension. The implant system provides two distinct sizing segments and the tibial insert has a medial tibial aspect ratio of 0.74 and a lateral tibial aspect ratio of 0.65 to 0.68 for all sizes.

18 Claims, 8 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited**U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,356,414	A	10/1994	Cohen et al.
5,370,699	A	12/1994	Hood et al.
5,413,604	A	5/1995	Hodge
5,549,686	A	8/1996	Johnson et al.
5,658,342	A	8/1997	Draganich et al.
5,824,105	A	10/1998	Ries et al.
5,879,391	A	3/1999	Slamin
5,906,643	A	5/1999	Walker
5,964,808	A	10/1999	Blaha et al.
6,013,103	A	1/2000	Kaufman et al.
6,039,764	A	3/2000	Pottenger et al.
6,068,658	A	5/2000	Insall et al.
6,071,311	A	6/2000	O'Neil et al.
6,123,729	A	9/2000	Insall et al.
6,126,693	A	10/2000	O'Neil et al.
6,162,254	A	12/2000	Timoteo
6,527,807	B1	3/2003	O'Neil et al.
6,558,426	B1	5/2003	Masini
6,582,469	B1	6/2003	Tornier
6,660,039	B1	12/2003	Evans et al.
6,893,467	B1	5/2005	Bercovy
7,081,137	B1	7/2006	Servidio
7,105,026	B2	9/2006	Johnson et al.
7,261,740	B2	8/2007	Tuttle et al.
7,297,164	B2	11/2007	Johnson et al.
7,326,252	B2	2/2008	Otto et al.
2003/0004577	A1	1/2003	Running
2003/0009232	A1	1/2003	Metzger et al.
2004/0054416	A1	3/2004	Wyss et al.
2004/0059426	A1 *	3/2004	Schmotzer et al. 623/20.35
2005/0143832	A1	6/2005	Carson
2005/0154472	A1	7/2005	Afriat
2005/0192672	A1	9/2005	Wyss et al.
2008/0119940	A1	5/2008	Otto et al.
2009/0164022	A1	6/2009	Masini
2009/0306786	A1	12/2009	Samuelson

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

EP	1099430	5/2001
EP	0749734	9/2002

EP	1285638	2/2003
FR	2691356	11/1993
FR	2714819	7/1995
RU	2045248	10/1995
RU	2057495	4/1996
RU	2068245	10/1996
RU	2085149	7/1997
RU	2110233	5/1998
RU	2114580	7/1998
RU	2145821	2/2000
RU	2151577	6/2000
RU	2175534	11/2001
RU	2226372	4/2004
WO	WO9535074	12/1995
WO	WO9730664	8/1997
WO	WO9913803	3/1999
WO	WO9927872	6/1999
WO	WO0134069	5/2001
WO	WO03002039	1/2003
WO	WO2004012633	2/2004
WO	WO2005037147	4/2005
WO	WO2005072657	8/2005

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Breaking It Into Basics—A Medial Pivot Geometry—J. David Blaha, MD—Ortho Blue Journal, Sep. 2002, vol. 25, No. 9.

Knee Product Reference; Competitive Information Pocket Guide—Stryker Howmedia Osteonics, 2000, 2001.

Stryker Howmedia Osteonics—Information taken from web site—“Scorpio Flex Single Axis Knee”, 2002.

“In Vivo Fluoroscopic Analysis of Fixed-Bearing Total Knee Replacement”, Dennis, D.A., et al., Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, No. 410, pp. 114-130, 2003 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc., 2003.

“Fluoroscopic analysis of the kinematics of deep flexion in total knee arthroplasty”, Bellemans, J., et al., British Editorial Society of bone and Joint Surgery, vol. 84-B, No. 1, Jan. 2002.

“Multicenter Determination of In Vivo Kinematics After Total Knee Arthroplasty”, Dennis, D.A., et al., Clinical Orthopaedics and Related Research, No. 416, pp. 37-57, 2003 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, Inc., 2003.

* cited by examiner




FIG. 1




FIG. 6




FIG. 7

FIG. 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

FIG. 5




FIG. 8




FIG. 9




FIG. 10

1

KNEE IMPLANT SYSTEM**FIELD OF THE INVENTION**

The present disclosure relates generally to knee prostheses that more closely emulate the kinematics of the actual knee joint.

BACKGROUND

Modern total knee arthroplasty implants replace three separate articulating surfaces within the knee joint: the patello-femoral compartment and the lateral and medial inferior tibio-femoral compartments. Most currently available implants for Total Knee Replacement (TKR) are designed to articulate from a position of slight hyperextension to approximately 115° to 130° flexion. A tricompartmental design can meet the needs of most TKR patients even though the healthy human knee is capable of a range of motion (ROM) approaching 170°. However, there are some TKR patients who have a particular need to obtain high flexion in the knee joint. For many, a TKR that permits patients to achieve a ROM in excess of 130° is desirable to allow deep kneeling, squatting and sitting on the floor with the legs tucked underneath.

Conventional TKR implants do not produce normal knee kinematics or motion and generally have a limited range of motion as compared to that of a normal knee. This is because conventional TKR implants flex by rotating about a generally horizontal axis during flexion and extension, whereas the kinematics of a natural knee joint involves more complex motion of the femur and tibia relative to one another. For example, in a natural knee, the tibia rotates internally about the longitudinal axis of the tibia during flexion.

Although some attempts have been made to design a total knee prosthesis which replicates the kinematics of a natural knee, there exists a room for more improvement.

SUMMARY

According to an embodiment of the present disclosure, a knee prosthesis for replacement of at least a portion of a knee joint in a leg of a patient is disclosed. The knee prosthesis comprises a femoral component adapted to fit on a distal end of the femur and a tibial insert component adapted to fit on a tibial base component. The femoral component comprises a lateral condylar structure and a medial condylar structure, the lateral condylar structure defining a lateral condylar surface and the medial condylar structure defining a medial condylar surface. The femoral component also includes a measured anterior/posterior dimension defined by the posterior condyle surface and the interior surface of the anterior flange. A distal peg is provided on a distal bone facing surface of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures, wherein the distal pegs are positioned at a midpoint of the measured anterior/posterior dimension.

The medial condylar structure of the femoral component can be described as a spherical surface, extending from the posterior portion of the medial condyle to the anterior portion of the medial condyle. The lateral condylar structure of the femoral component can be described as ovoid, with the posterior portion of the lateral condyle being spherical, but the anterior portion of the lateral condyle having different sets of radii in the sagittal (side) and coronal (front) planes.

The tibial insert comprises a lateral bearing surface, a medial bearing surface, a medial anterior/posterior dimension, a lateral anterior/posterior dimension, and a medial/lateral dimension, wherein the ratio of the medial anterior/

2

posterior dimension to the medial/lateral dimension is 0.74 and the ratio of the lateral anterior/posterior dimension to the medial/lateral dimension is 0.66 to 0.68. The lateral condylar surface of the tibial insert is configured to contact the lateral bearing surface and the medial condylar surface is configured to contact the medial bearing surface when the knee prosthesis is installed in the leg of a patient.

The knee prosthesis of the present disclosure provides a primary total knee arthroplasty implant that is stable in the primary areas of gait while permitting the patient to achieve deep flexion (flexion angles greater than 120°). The knee prosthesis is stable throughout its functional flexion by providing features that resist paradoxical motion and promote deep flexion.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a left knee femoral component of a knee prosthesis system of the present disclosure.

FIG. 2 is a top down view of the femoral component of FIG. 1.

FIG. 3 is a side view of the femoral component of FIG. 1.

FIG. 4 is a side view composite illustration of several sizes of the femoral component shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 5 is top down view composite illustration of several sizes of the femoral component shown in FIG. 1.

FIG. 6 is a perspective view of an embodiment of a left knee tibial insert component of a knee prosthesis system of the present disclosure.

FIG. 7 is a perspective view of another embodiment of a left knee tibial insert component of a knee prosthesis system of the present disclosure.

FIGS. 8-10 are top down views of the left knee tibial insert component of FIG. 6.

The features shown in the above referenced drawings are illustrated schematically and are not intended to be drawn to scale nor are they intended to be shown in precise positional relationship. Like reference numbers indicate like elements.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

This description of the exemplary embodiments is intended to be read in connection with the accompanying drawings, which are to be considered part of the entire written description. In the description, relative terms such as "lower," "upper," "horizontal," "vertical," "above," "below," "up," "down," "top" and "bottom" as well as derivative thereof (e.g., "horizontally," "downwardly," "upwardly," etc.) should be construed to refer to the orientation as then described or as shown in the drawing under discussion. These relative terms are for convenience of description and do not require that the apparatus be constructed or operated in a particular orientation. Terms concerning attachments, coupling and the like, such as "connected" and "interconnected," refer to a relationship wherein structures are secured or attached to one another either directly or indirectly through intervening structures, as well as both movable or rigid attachments or relationships, unless expressly described otherwise.

FIGS. 1-3 show a femoral component 100 of a knee prosthesis of the present disclosure. FIG. 6 shows one embodiment of a tibial insert component 200A of the knee prosthesis. FIG. 7 shows another embodiment of a tibial insert component 200B of the knee prosthesis.

Referring to FIG. 1, the femoral component 100 has a medial condyle 104 and a lateral condyle 105 providing medial and lateral condylar surfaces that cooperate with the

corresponding bearing surfaces on the tibial inserts **200A** or **200B** (shown in FIGS. 6 and 7, respectively). Referring to FIGS. 2 and 3, the femoral component **100** includes a femoral anterior/posterior dimension **114a** that extends from the posterior condylar surface apex **115** of the lateral condyle **105** to the lateral anterior condyle surface **116**. The femoral component **100** also includes a femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b** that extends from the posterior condyle surface apex **115** to the proximal tip **109** of the interior surface of the anterior flange **108**, which references the anterior cortex of the distal portion of the femur of the patient anatomy.

The femoral component **100** has at least two distal pegs **135**, one distal peg on the bone facing surface **140** of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures, that are used for medial/lateral stability for the femoral component **100** when installed on a patient's femur. The femoral component **100** is offered in a variety of sizes with progressively increasing the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimensions **114b** that will accommodate the varying dimensions seen in the same measure of the patient anatomy. The distal pegs **135** are also used for instrumenting the position of the femoral component on the femur.

However, unlike the femoral components in conventional knee prosthesis systems, in which the various sizes of the femoral components are referenced either from the anterior cortex surface of the distal portion of the femur or the posterior condyles of the distal portion of the femur, the various sizes of the femoral component **100** of the knee prosthesis of the present disclosure are referenced from the midline **130** of the measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b** of the femoral implant component **100**. The distal pegs **135**, provided on the bone facing surface **140** of each of the medial and lateral condyles **104**, **105** are located along the midline **130** of the femoral component **100**. The measure for size for any knee implant system is the measure from the tangent from the posterior condyles to the anterior cortex; this is similar to the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b**. In the knee implant system of the present disclosure, the distal pegs **135** are positioned at the midpoint of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b**.

Thus, the distance from the posterior condyle surface apex **115** to the midline **130** is one-half of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b**. Therefore, all sizes of the femoral component **100** are referenced from the midline **130** represented by the position of the distal pegs **135**. This is illustrated in FIG. 4 which is a side-view composite illustration of several sizes of the femoral component **100**.

As the femoral component **100** reduces in size, both the anterior flange **108** and the posterior portions **104p** and **105p** of the condyles get closer toward the distal pegs **135**. This sizing method requires bone resections on both the anterior side and posterior side of the distal end of the patient's femur thus ensuring that new bone surfaces are provided for interfacing with the femoral component **100** when the surgeon downsizes the femoral component **100** during fitting process.

This is particularly important for cement free implants that require interface of cut bone with the bone ingrowth surfaces of the implant and that gaps are not present at the implant/bone interface. This method of growth from size to size of the present disclosure reduces the amount of bone removed from either anterior or posterior compartment by half when a smaller size is chosen from this "mid-line" frame of reference.

Conventional knee systems that "grow" their implant from either the anterior side or posterior side only will require that all the bone is removed from the side opposite from the reference surface when downsizing of the implant is required.

This can be problematic in the conventional referencing systems. An anterior referencing system will have a tendency to remove posterior condylar bone when downsizing, opening the flexion gap and reducing posterior condylar offset (dimension from tangent of the posterior condyles of the femoral implant to the posterior cortex of the distal femoral bone) which can create instability and reduce flexion respectively. Conversely, a posterior referencing system will have a tendency to either overstuff the patella-femoral gap if the component is too large or risk notching (resulting in an undercut) the anterior cortex of the distal femoral bone which may reduce flexion or increase the risk of femoral bone fracture respectively.

Each size femoral component **100** has the femoral anterior/posterior dimension **114a**, the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b**, and a femoral medial/lateral dimension **155**. The ratio of one measure, the femoral anterior/posterior dimension **114a** to the femoral medial/lateral dimension **155**, is defined as femoral aspect ratio, which is a measure of distal femoral profile. The ratio of another measure, the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b** to the femoral medial/lateral dimension **155**, referred to as the measured aspect ratio, is a measure more pertinent to implants as this is the datum reference between the posterior condyles and the proximal tip **109** of the interior surface of the anterior flange **108**, the surface that contacts the anterior cortex of the distal portion of the femur of the patient anatomy.

The knee prosthesis system of the present disclosure comprises two distinct sizing segments with different femoral aspect ratio ranges. A wider primary size range has a femoral aspect ratio of 0.87 to 0.95 and a narrower stature size range has a femoral aspect ratio range of 0.97 to 1.00. The measured aspect ratio, the ratio of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b** to the femoral medial/lateral dimension **155**, is also defined by two sizing segments; a wider primary size range has a measured aspect ratio range of 0.80 to 0.87 and a narrower stature size range has a measured aspect ratio range of 0.89 to 0.91. This provides significant benefit over the conventional knee systems where only one size segment is offered for a given measured anterior/posterior dimension.

The availability of offering two distinct sizing segments for a given measured anterior/posterior dimension **114b** provides the benefit of optimizing the fit of the implant for a multitude of patient populations. Conventional knee systems that offer only one size segment for a given measured anterior/posterior dimension may not accommodate all of the variations seen for a given patient populations and as a result may deviate from a desired size for a given patient and create potential complications as a result (i.e. reduced posterior condylar offset, notching the anterior cortex, etc.). The options offered in the present disclosure provide an attempt to reduce these complications from occurring by providing the optimal size prosthesis.

Referring to FIG. 5, which is a composite illustration of several sizes of the femoral component **100**, each distal femoral implant **100** size also has their own femoral medial/lateral dimension **155** and furthermore their own condylar spacing dimension contained within three groups: C1, C2 and C3. Condylar spacing dimension is the distance between the articulating high points from medial condyle **104** and lateral condyle **105**. The knee prosthesis system of the present disclosure comprises three distinct condylar spacing ratio ranges, defined by the dimension between medial and lateral condyle apex **115** over the implant medial/lateral dimension **155** of the femoral prosthesis **100**. The group C1 has a condy-

5

lar spacing ratios in the range of 0.59 to 0.62. The wider sizing segment of group C2 has a condylar spacing ratios in the range of 0.55 to 0.64. The narrower sizing segment of group C2 has a condylar spacing ratios in the range of 0.60 to 0.69. The group C3 has a condylar spacing ratios in the range of 0.60 to 0.63.

Referring to FIGS. 6-10, the tibial inserts 200A and 200B are configured with a tissue friendly notch 205 for the patella tendon located at the anterior side of the tibial inserts 200A and 200B. The medial/lateral midline 200m notes the neutral position of the component. The notch 205 is angled in the direction 205a of the quadriceps pull. The notch 205 helps prevent or relieve potential impingement of the patella tendon during knee flexion. The tibial inserts 200A and 200B comprise a medial compartment 212, also referred to as a medial bearing surface and a lateral compartment 210, also referred to as a lateral bearing surface. The tibial inserts 200A and 200B are also configured with an anterior lip 213 on anterior portion of the medial compartment 212. This anterior lip 213 provides the structure to prevent translation of the femoral component 100 during early gait.

The tibial insert embodiment 200A, which is intended to be implanted with the posterior cruciate ligament (PCL) in place and is provided with a tissue friendly notch 206 for the PCL that is angled in the direction 206a of the PCL pull. The tibial insert embodiment 200B is intended to be implanted where the PCL has been removed and thus the tissue friendly notch 206 is not necessary.

Each size tibial insert implants 200A, 200B has a tibial medial anterior/posterior dimension 208, a tibial lateral anterior/posterior dimension 209, and a tibial medial/lateral dimension 215. The medial anterior/posterior dimension 208 represents the distance between a first point that is the anterior-most point 230 along the periphery of the tibial insert and a second point that is the posterior-most point 234 along the periphery of the medial portion of the tibial insert. The lateral anterior/posterior dimension 209 represents the distance between the anterior-most point 230 along the periphery of the tibial insert and a point that is the posterior-most point 232 along the periphery of the lateral portion of the tibial insert. The medial/lateral dimension 215 represents the distance between a first point 235 along the periphery of the medial portion of the tibial insert that is the farthest from the medial/lateral midline and a second point 237 along the periphery of the lateral portion of the tibial insert that is farthest from the medial/lateral midline 200m. The knee implant system of the present disclosure is characterized by particular ratios of these dimensions. The ratio of the tibial medial anterior/posterior dimension 208 to the tibial medial/lateral dimension 215 is referred to as the medial tibial aspect ratio and the ratio of the tibial lateral anterior/posterior dimension 209 to the tibial medial/lateral dimension 215 are defined as the lateral tibial aspect ratio. These dimensional ranges provide the knee implant system of the present disclosure to optimally fit the patient population. The knee implant system of the present disclosure has a medial tibial aspect ratio of about 0.74 for all sizes and a lateral tibial aspect ratio in the range of about 0.65 to 0.68. Additionally, the % difference between the medial anterior/posterior dimension 208 and the lateral anterior/posterior dimension 209 ranges from 9.6% to 13.3%. The % difference is calculated by $(\text{medial anterior/posterior dimension } 208) - (\text{lateral anterior/posterior dimension } 209) / \text{lateral anterior/posterior dimension } 209$. Conventional knee systems either provide a symmetric implant that will leave portions of the proximal tibial resection uncovered, or are not symmetric enough where patient anatomy trends to become more asymmetric as the knee becomes larger. The knee

6

implant system of the present disclosure trends to have a more asymmetric tibial prosthesis for larger tibial implants.

The knee implant system comprising the distal femoral component 100, the tibial insert components 200A and 200B, and the tibial base component that the tibial insert components interface with, provides the surgeon with implants of varying sizing options to enable more accurate fitting to a given patient's anatomic requirements. The knee implant system of the present disclosure also provides more natural knee joint kinematics promoted by the structures described above. For example, the anterior lip 213 of the tibial insert components 200A, 200B prevent or limit the anterior translation of the femoral component 100 during flexion and permitting a spinning motion of the medial condyle 104 on the medial compartment 212 of the tibial insert component 200A and 200B, while permitting a rolling motion of the lateral condyle 105 on the lateral compartment 210 of the tibial insert component 200A and 200B.

Although the invention has been described in terms of exemplary embodiments, it is not limited thereto. Rather, the appended claims should be construed broadly, to include other variants and embodiments of the invention, which may be made by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and range of equivalents of the invention. The scope of the invention disclosed herein is to be limited only by the following claims.

What is claimed is:

1. A knee prosthesis for replacement of at least a portion of a knee joint in a leg of a patient, the leg including a femur, a tibia, the knee prosthesis comprising:

a distal femoral component adapted to fit on a distal end of the femur, the femoral component comprising:

a lateral condylar structure having a lateral condylar surface, a posterior portion, a lateral side, and a bone facing surface;

a medial condylar structure having a medial condylar surface, a posterior portion, a medial side, and a bone facing surface, said medial condylar surface being generally round, wherein the posterior portion of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures defining a posterior condylar surface;

an anterior flange connecting the lateral condylar structure and the medial condylar structure, wherein the anterior flange having an anterior condylar surface, an interior surface and a proximal tip of the interior surface;

a measured anterior/posterior dimension defined by the posterior condyle surface and the proximal tip of the interior surface of the anterior flange; and

a distal peg projecting from the bone facing surface of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures, wherein the distal pegs are positioned at a midline of the measured anterior/posterior dimension for enabling midline-referenced sizing for the femoral component; and

a tibial insert comprising:

a lateral bearing surface;

a medial bearing surface; and

a medial anterior/posterior dimension representing the distance between a first location that is the anterior-most location along the periphery of the tibial insert and a second location that is the posterior-most location along the periphery of the medial portion of the tibial insert, a lateral anterior/posterior dimension representing the distance between the anterior-most location along the periphery of the tibial insert and a site that is the posterior-location along the periphery

7

of the lateral portion of the tibial insert, and a medial/lateral dimension representing the distance between a first location along the periphery of the medial portion of the tibial insert that is distant from the medial/lateral midline and a second location along the periphery of the lateral portion of the tibial insert that is distant from the medial/lateral midline,

wherein the medial anterior/posterior dimension to the medial/lateral dimension ratio is 0.74 and the lateral anterior/posterior dimension to the medial/lateral dimension ratio is 0.66 to 0.68; and

wherein the lateral condylar surface being configured to contact the lateral bearing surface and the medial condylar surface being configured to contact the medial bearing surface when the knee prosthesis is installed in the leg of a patient.

2. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the percent difference between the medial anterior/posterior dimension and the tibial lateral anterior/posterior dimension is between 9.6% to 13.3%.

3. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the tibial insert has a notch for accommodating a patella tendon and angled in the direction of quadriceps pull for avoiding impingement of the patella tendon during knee flexion.

4. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the tibial insert has a tissue notch for a posterior cruciate ligament angled in the direction of the posterior cruciate ligament's pull.

5. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the medial bearing surface of the tibial insert has an anterior lip and the lateral bearing surface has an anterior lip, wherein the anterior lip of the medial bearing surface is higher than the anterior lip of the lateral bearing surface.

6. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the distal femoral component has a femoral aspect ratio of 0.87 to 0.95 and a measured aspect ratio of 0.80 to 0.87, wherein the femoral aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension and the measured aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

wherein the femoral anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condylar surface of the lateral condyle to the lateral anterior condyle surface, the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condyle surface to the proximal tip of the interior surface of the anterior flange, and the femoral medial/lateral dimension extends from the lateral side of the lateral condyle to the medial side of the medial condyle.

7. The knee prosthesis of claim 6, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.59 to 0.62, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

8. The knee prosthesis of claim 6, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.55 to 0.64, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

9. The knee prosthesis of claim 6, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.60 to 0.63, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

10. The knee prosthesis of claim 1, wherein the distal femoral component has a femoral aspect ratio of 0.97 to 1.00 and a measured aspect ratio of 0.89 to 0.91, wherein the

8

femoral aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension and the measured aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

wherein the femoral anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condylar surface of the lateral condyle to the lateral anterior condyle surface, the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condyle surface to the proximal tip of the interior surface of the anterior flange, and the femoral medial/lateral dimension extends from the lateral side of the lateral condyle to the medial side of the medial condyle.

11. The knee prosthesis of claim 10, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.60 to 0.69, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

12. A distal femoral component of a knee prosthesis comprising:

a lateral condylar structure having a lateral condylar surface, a posterior portion, a lateral side and a bone facing surface;

a medial condylar structure having a medial condylar surface, a posterior portion, a medial side and a bone facing surface, said medial condylar surface being round, wherein the posterior portion of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures form a posterior condylar surface;

an anterior flange connecting the lateral condylar structure and the medial condylar structure, wherein the anterior flange defines an interior surface and a proximal tip;

a femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension, said femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension extending from the posterior condyle surface to the proximal tip of the interior surface of the anterior flange; and

a distal peg provided on the bone facing surface of each of the lateral and medial condylar structures, wherein the distal pegs are positioned at a midline of the measured anterior/posterior dimension for enabling midline-referenced sizing scheme for the femoral component.

13. The distal femoral component of claim 12, wherein the distal femoral component has a femoral aspect ratio of 0.87 to 0.95 and a measured aspect ratio of 0.80 to 0.87, wherein

the femoral aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

the measured aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

wherein the femoral anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condylar surface of the lateral condyle to the lateral anterior condyle surface, and

the femoral medial/lateral dimension extends from the lateral side of the lateral condyle to the medial side of the medial condyle.

14. The distal femoral component of claim 13, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.59 to 0.62, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

15. The distal femoral component of claim 13, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.55 to 0.64, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

16. The distal femoral component of claim 13, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.60 to 0.63, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

5

17. The distal femoral component of claim 12, wherein the distal femoral component has a femoral aspect ratio of 0.97 to 1.00 and a measured aspect ratio of 0.89 to 0.91, wherein

the femoral aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

10

the measured aspect ratio is the ratio of the femoral measured anterior/posterior dimension to the femoral medial/lateral dimension,

wherein the femoral anterior/posterior dimension extends from the posterior condylar surface of the lateral condyle to the lateral anterior condyle surface, and the femoral medial/lateral dimension extends from the lateral side of the lateral condyle to the medial side of the medial condyle.

15

20

18. The distal femoral component of claim 17, wherein the distal femoral component has a condylar spacing ratio of 0.60 to 0.69, wherein the condylar spacing ratio is the ratio of the dimension between a medial and a lateral condyle apex to the femoral medial/lateral dimension.

25

* * * * *